TOMO Dachi

TOMODACHI STEM @ Rice University Final Presentation (March 18, 2016)

Strain Direct Mapping by Using Carbon Nanotube Strain Sensor

Shuhei Yoshida

(University of Tokyo)

Supervisor: Professor Bruce Weisman (Department of Chemistry)





Can you see material deformation?

Q: Which is DANGEROUS deformation ??



Both are DANGEROUS deformation!



Material deformation \rightarrow Strain

What if we could see *STRAIN*?

Structural health monitoring





- Non-destructive
- Non-contact
- Simple



Ideal strain measurement methods

Strain-sensing smart skin "S⁴" method



Principle strain maps



Experiences @ Houston









Diversity in research lab.



Why important?

Diverse people ↓ Diverse ideas ↓ Better ideas



- Racially homogeneous society (Ours ⇔ Others)
- Language barrier

Tolerance for diversity

THE UNIVERSITY OF TOKYC

Appendix

What I have done @ Rice

(1) Strain / Stress map(2) Local work hardening map(3) Theoretical model formulation

Theoretical model (1)

For (7,6)-(7,5) separation

$$\varepsilon = \frac{8}{3 - \mu} \frac{h}{3t_0 (1 + \nu_{SWNT}) (\cos 3\theta_{(7,6)} + \cos 3\theta_{(7,5)})} (\Delta \nu_{(7,6)} - \Delta \nu_{(7,5)})$$

Theoretical model (2)

Observed spectra shape

$$S_{observed} = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{\pi} f(\theta, \phi) P\left(\nu - \nu_0 - \Delta\nu(\theta, \phi, \alpha)\right) \sin^3\theta \cos^2(\phi - \alpha) d\theta d\phi$$

Peak function (individual SWNTs)

Lorentzian distribution Gaussian distribution $\frac{\text{Jaussian distribution}}{P = A \exp\left(-\frac{\left(\nu - \nu_0 - \Delta\nu(\theta, \phi, \alpha)\right)^2}{w^2}\right) \qquad P = \frac{A}{\pi} \frac{\frac{1}{2}\Gamma}{\left(\nu - \nu_0 - \Delta\nu(\theta, \phi, \alpha)\right)^2 + \left(\frac{1}{2}\Gamma\right)^2}$ $\begin{cases} \varepsilon = \frac{8}{3 - \mu} \frac{h}{3t_0 (1 + \nu_{SWNT}) (\cos 3\theta_{(7,6)} + \cos 3\theta_{(7,5)})} (\Delta \nu_{(7,6)} - \Delta \nu_{(7,5)}) \\ = \frac{8C_1}{3 - \mu} (\Delta \nu_{(7,6)} - \Delta \nu_{(7,5)}) \\ \varepsilon = C_2 \Delta \lambda_{observed}^{(7,6)-(7,5)} \\ \mu = 3 - \frac{8C_1}{C_2} \frac{\Delta \nu_{(7,6)} - \Delta \nu_{(7,5)}}{\Delta \lambda_{observed}^{(7,6)-(7,5)}} \end{cases}$

Poisson ratio can be obtained more precisely.

Methods

Strain → Stress Transformation

 $\sigma_{ij} = E_{ijkl} \varepsilon_{kl}$ (Hooke's low)

Symmetry of Young's modulus tensor

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{11} \\ \sigma_{22} \\ \sigma_{12} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} E_{1111} & E_{1122} & 2E_{1112} \\ E_{1122} & E_{2222} & 2E_{2212} \\ E_{1112} & E_{2212} & 2E_{1212} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_{11} \\ \varepsilon_{22} \\ \varepsilon_{12} \end{bmatrix}$$

Work hardening mapping

Elastic deformation Poisson ratio: Constant

Work hardening Plastic deformation Poisson ratio: Increase Initial plastic deformation is detectable.

Strain

Local work hardening map

Local work hardening

Strain gage rosettes

 $\varepsilon_{(3)}$

Consisting of 3 strain gage with different angle

$$\begin{cases} \varepsilon_{(1)} = \frac{\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2}{2} \cos(2\theta) \\ \varepsilon_{(2)} = \frac{\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2}{2} \cos 2\left(\theta + \frac{\pi}{3}\right) \\ \varepsilon_{(3)} = \frac{\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2}{2} \cos 2\left(\theta + \frac{2\pi}{3}\right) \end{cases}$$

 $\theta = \frac{1}{2} \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\sqrt{3} (\varepsilon_{(3)} - \varepsilon_{(2)})}{2\varepsilon_{(1)} - \varepsilon_{(2)} - \varepsilon_{(2)}} \right)$

$${\varepsilon_1 \\ \varepsilon_2 } = \frac{\varepsilon_{(1)} + \varepsilon_{(2)} + \varepsilon_{(3)}}{3} \pm \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} \sqrt{\left(\varepsilon_{(1)} - \varepsilon_{(2)}\right)^2 + \left(\varepsilon_{(2)} - \varepsilon_{(3)}\right)^2 + \left(\varepsilon_{(3)} - \varepsilon_{(1)}\right)^2}$$

Principle strain can be determined based on 3 data with different angle.

Problems

 $\varepsilon_{(2)}$

• Low accuracy (small # of data)

 $\varepsilon_{(1)}$

- Space is necessary (larger than SWNTs)
- Difficulties on deciding 0 of angle
- Stiffness & thermal conductivity of materials could be altered locally.

These can be solved by using SWNTs strain sensor.

Single-walled carbon nanotubes

Rolling up graphene sheet into cylindrical shape

Various superior properties

<u>Chirality and electronic structure</u> $(n - m) \mod 3 = 0 \rightarrow \text{Metal}$ $(n - m) \mod 3 \neq 0 \rightarrow \text{Semiconductor}$

Optical property of SWNTs

SWNT dispersed polymer films

Deformation of materials

Mohr's circle

Dynamic measurement

 $\sigma^e = E\sigma^e = E\varepsilon$

 $\sigma^{\nu} = \eta \dot{\varepsilon^{\nu}} = \eta \dot{\varepsilon}$

 $\sigma = E\varepsilon + \eta \dot{\varepsilon}$

$$\varepsilon(t) = f \otimes \varepsilon = \mathcal{L}^{-1} \big[\mathcal{L}[f] \mathcal{L}[\varepsilon] \big]$$

Strain response delay can be eliminated.

Levenberg–Marquardt algorithm

Problem: Finding least squares

$$S(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} [y_i - f(x_i, \boldsymbol{\beta})]^2$$

<u>Idea</u>

$$f(x_i, \boldsymbol{\beta}_0 + \boldsymbol{\delta}) \approx f(x_i, \boldsymbol{\beta}_0) + J_i \boldsymbol{\delta} \qquad \boldsymbol{\beta}_0: \text{ Initial guess of optimization parameter}$$

$$J_i = \frac{\partial f(x_i, \boldsymbol{\beta}_0)}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}} \qquad \text{Moving from } \boldsymbol{\beta} \text{ to } \boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\delta}$$

$$Jacobian \text{ matrix}$$

$$S(\boldsymbol{\beta}_0 + \boldsymbol{\delta}) \approx \sum_{i=1}^m [y_i - f(x_i, \boldsymbol{\beta}_0) + J_i \boldsymbol{\delta}]^2 = \|\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{\beta}_0) - \boldsymbol{J}\boldsymbol{\delta}\|^2 \quad \text{Finding best } \boldsymbol{\delta}$$

Solution

$$(J^T J)\delta = J^T [y - f(\beta_0)] \quad \leftarrow \text{same as (differential = 0)}$$

$$(J^{T}J + \lambda \operatorname{diag}(J^{T}J))\delta = J^{T}[y - f(\beta_{0})]$$

Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm

One of the most

used, typical & fast

optimization algorithms

 λ : Damping factor (Adjustable) \rightarrow make the calculation faster.

Peak deconvolution

Levenberg – Maquardt algorithm

Optimized solution \rightarrow depends on initial assumption

Problem: Inappropriate fitting curve could appear. **Solution**: Polynomial fitting curves (\rightarrow Analytically solved)

Annealing methods

Levenberg – Maquardt algorithm Optimized solution → depends on initial assumption

Problem:

Inappropriate fitting curve could appear.

Solution:

(1) Random initial value

(2) Find the most optimized one

(Annealing methods)

Future applications

- (1) Structural health monitoring
- (2) Application for nano-micro mechanical structures

Remained issues

(1) Material optimizations

- Degradation resistance
- Good adhesion with substrates
- Cost performance
- Spraytechnique

(2) System optimizations

- Data precision
- Data acquisition speed up
- Data processing speed up